



Clarification on EPA request for stormline information

Chip Humphrey to: Applegate, Rick
Cc: Kristine Koch, Eric Blischke, "Sanders, Dawn"

01/26/2009 03:13 PM

Rick,

As a follow-up to our recent conversation with the City, EPA has prepared the following clarifications of EPA comment 106 on Section 5.1.3.1.1 of the Round 2 Report. We discussed this approach and agreed to the following to meet EPA objectives for the conceptual site model in the draft RI report. As discussed, we tried to develop this streamlined approach in consideration of the tight schedule and to allow for incorporation of this information in the RI report.

1. Only show current knowledge of stormline piping and sites connected (i.e., outfall drainage basins) for the large shared conveyance systems in the Harbor; this includes systems discharging through City, Port, and Schnitzer-International Slip outfalls. However, do not show catch basins, manholes, and other such details.
2. Show a map that has the following shadings:
 - A) Areas drained by shared conveyances (as identified in #1 above)
 - B) Areas with direct discharge (either through outfalls or sheet flow)
 - C) Areas known to have no storm water discharge (i.e., site where there is specific information that the site/area only has infiltration and no ability to discharge stormwater - e.g., PGE-Harborton that has a berm around it so no stormwater runoff occurs).
 - D) Areas with uncertain drainage (assume it will be everything not included in A, B, and C above).

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding this approach.

thanks,

Chip Humphrey
EPA Remedial Project Manager
(503) 326-2678